## LEGISLATION AND STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

Law 266 (001) - Professor Rabkin - Fall 2023

E-mail: jrabkin@gmu.edu

Office Hours: 320 Hazel Hall, Tues. 4:00 - 5:30 PM

All page references to Eskridge, Frickey, Garrett, CASES AND MATERIALS ON STATUTORY INTERPRETATION (West, 2012); all other readings on TWEN

Learning Outcomes: Familiarity with accepted canons of interpretation; understanding of competing approaches to statutory interpretation; awareness of how competing interpretive methods reflect differing philosophies of law

### Aug 22 Introduction: Law and Consequences

Sec. 504 and Implementing Regulations S.C. AG's Office on Law of State Seal 85 - 102: Steelworkers v. Weber Bostock v. Clayton County; NFIB v. OSHA

## Aug 29 Classical Theories of Law and Courts

Thomas Aquinas, Questions on Law (*Summa* excerpts)
Thomas Hobbes, *Leviathan*, Ch. 13, 17, 26 (excerpts)
John Austin, *Province of Jurisprudence Determined* (excerpts)
John Locke, *Second Treatise*, §134-142, 199, 201 - 204
William Blackstone, *Commentaries*, Vol. I, Intro, Sec. 2

#### Sept 5 Older American Theories

The Federalist, No. 78; MT, "On Postage Rates"
Joseph Story, Discourse on Inauguration as Dane Professor
Riggs v. Palmer
135 - 152 (esp. Holy Trinity Church, Caminetti v. U.S.)

## Sept 12 "Legal Process" Theory and the Textualist Challenge

164 - 183 (esp. Shine, Locke, Jacob) 197 - 203 (TVA v. Hill); 214 - 31 (Bock Laundry) P.G.A. Tour v. Martin; Biden v. Nebraska (Barrett opinion) 24 - 38 ("How a Bill Becomes a Federal Law") Scalia, Matter of Interpretation (excerpt)

### Sept 19 **Textual Canons**

48 - 78 ("Approaches to Legislation" - skim)

326 – 362 (esp. "No Vehicles," Nix v. Hedden, Jarecki, Babbit)

259 - 73 (*U.S. v. Marshall*) 851 - 855 (textual canons)

#### Sept 26 Foundational Canons

Int'l Law: Charming Betsy, Morrison v. Nat'l Austr. Bank

Lenity: 366 –80 (*McBoyle, Muscarello*) *Judgment of Nuremberg Tribunal*G. Radbruch, "Statutory Lawlessness"

# Oct 3 Substantive Canons and Controversy

Avoidance: 391 - 404 (Witkovich, Catholic Bishop)

Federalism: 406 - 26 (Ashcroft, BFP)

426 – 38, 439 - 42 (Llewellyn, Sunstein, Minn. Statute)

The Federalist No. 40, No. 41 (last 5 ¶s)

## Oct 17 Extrinsic Sources – Legislative History

459 – 79 (Leo Sheep, Blanchard)

486 - 92 (Carr); 497 - 510 (Pepper); 512 - 17 (Kosak)

858 - 859 (extrinsic legislative sources)

### Oct 24 Extrinsic Sources – Background Law

443 - 458 (Smith v. Wade); 115 - 124 (Flood v. Kuhn)

524 - 40 (Montana Wilderness, MW II)

856 - 57 (continuity); 859 - 64 (Const. based canons)

864 – 867 (common law canons)

### Oct 31 Extrinsic Sources – Parallel Provisions

564 – 887 (Cartledge, Lorillard, Ridgway, Zerbe, Morton)

865 – 867 (Statute-based, General, Process Canons)

Vienna Conv. on the Law of Treaties, Arts. 18, 19, 31, 32

Roper v. Simmons

How to Analyze a Statute (past exam hypothetical)

# Nov 7 Statutory Implementation in the Administrative State

644 - 654 (skim); 667 - 81, 686 - 93 (skim);

655 - 664 (Cort, Cannon, Thompson, Franklin, Davis)

Trump v. Hawaii

## Nov 14 **Judicial Deference to Agency Legal Interpretations**

715 – 753 (GE v. Gilbert, Chevron, MCI, Mead)

282 – 292 (FDA v. Brown & Williamson)

867 - 70 (Specific Statutory Subject Areas)

## Nov 21 **Exceptions to Judicial Deference**

755 – 788 (charts, Gonzalez, Coeur Alaska)

804 – 815 (*Geier, Wyeth*)

817 - 822 (Hamdan); 823 - 31 (chart)

Encino v. Navarro; Dept. of Commerce v. N.Y.

Biden v. Nebraska