SYLLABUS – FALL 2022

Patent Office Litigation LAW 306-001

Lestin L. Kenton

Tel: (202) 772-8594 (o) E-mail: lkenton@sternekessler.com https://www.sternekessler.com/professionals/lestin-l-kenton

William H. Milliken

Tel: (202) 772-8854 (o) E-mail: wmilliken@sternekessler.com https://www.sternekessler.com/professionals/william-h-milliken

COURSE SCHEDULE/MEETING TIMES/TYPE:

This course will meet on Thursdays from 6:05 pm - 8:05 pm.

OFFICE HOURS/AVAILABILITY TO STUDENTS OUTSIDE CLASS MEETING TIMES:

By appointment.

REQUIRED & OPTIONAL TEXTS:

- The required readings in this class will consist primarily of cases from the U.S. Supreme Court, Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, federal district courts, and Patent Trial and Appeal Board. We will send you copies of the required cases (usually edited for length) in advance of each class.
- Recommended Textbook: None
- Other Sources:
 - Rules of Practice: 37 C.F.R. Part 42
 - AIA Statute: 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 (IPR); §§ 321–329 (PGR); §§ 302–307 (Reexam)
 - PTAB Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (<u>https://www.uspto.gov/about-us/news-updates/consolidated-trial-practice-guide-november-2019</u>)
 - USPTO AIA FAQs (<u>http://www.uspto.gov/aia_implementation/faq.jsp</u>)
 - Representative PTAB Orders, Decision, and Notices (<u>http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/representative_orders_and_opinions.jsp</u>)
 - POP, Precedential and Informative Decisions (<u>https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/precedential-informative-decisions</u>)

COURSE OVERVIEW/DESCRIPTION:

- The Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (AIA) shifted the battleground of certain patent challenges from district court to the USPTO by creating new, more powerful, fast-track, litigation-like review proceedings, including post-grant reviews and inter partes reviews.
- This course will cover all post-grant proceedings: reissues, reexaminations, inter partes reviews, post grant reviews, derivations, and interferences.

LEARNING OUTCOMES:

- This course will cover practical aspects of how patent office litigation proceedings are used on their own and in conjunction with district court and International Trade Commission litigation to achieve client aims.
- The course also explores the interface between the proceedings and the district courts, the ITC, and the Federal Circuit.
- The course will include in-class discussions of practical implementation of the statutes, rules, and procedures for petitions, preliminary response, patent owner response, motion to amend, petitioner reply, patent owner sur reply, deposition, Board calls, and Board oral hearing. In addition to the assigned readings of cases and statutes, students will be assigned to read materials from real PTAB cases in order to gain an understanding of how the material we are covering translates into real-world practice. We will critically examine and evaluate these materials as part of our class discussions.

BASIS OF EVALUATION & ASSESSMENTS

- There will be frequent opportunities for student input and consideration of how to counsel clients on available patent office litigation options and limitations. Practical strategies and case studies will be used.
- Patent Law I and II are recommended but not required for this course.
- Final Examination 75%; Class Participation: 25%

INSTRUCTOR EXPECTATIONS & COURSE RULES/STRUCTURE:

- We expect all students will be prepared to discuss the assigned materials during each class.
- All students will respect all other students' comments and answers.
- As the class will be small, we expect each student to be on call to participate in each class. We understand, however, that unforeseen circumstances sometimes arise. If you are unable for any reason to participate in a given class, we just ask that you let us know in advance.
- Students must use their MasonLive email account to receive important University information, including communications related to this class. If approved by the student, we will respond to messages sent from or send messages to a non-Mason email address.

ATTENDANCE: Regular and punctual attendance are required to earn academic credit. Attendance requirements for academic credit will follow the policies set forth in Academic Regulation 4-1. Should a student anticipate the possibility of missing a substantial number of class sessions (e.g. a serious illness), please immediately contact the Assistant Dean, Student Academic Affairs.

We will take attendance at the beginning of each class.

CLASS RECORDINGS PROHIBITED: Pursuant to Academic Regulation 4-2.2, no portion of a class session or an examination may be preserved by means of a recording device such as an audio recording device or camera. Any exceptions to this policy must be expressly permitted in writing by one of us.

COVID HEALTH & SAFETY REQUIREMENTS: Please refer to the most up-to-date University policies, available here: https://www.law.gmu.edu/covid_19.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS/SCHEDULE:

The syllabus will likely change throughout the semester as new case law develops and new rules or guidance issue from the PTO. In order to keep the amount of reading manageable, we will usually send edited versions of the assigned cases via e-mail. You can expect to receive these approximately a week in advance of the class for which they are assigned.

We will not always go through every assigned reading each week, but you will be responsible for the readings on the final examination.

Week 1: August 25, 2022

- Core Topic: Overview of Proceedings, Claim Construction, Burdens, Stays, Parallel Proceedings – Race to Finality
- Reading Assignments:
 - Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide November 2019, pp. 1–49
 - o Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)
 - o Thryv Inc. v. Click-to-Call Technologies, LP, 140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)
 - o Pers. Audio, LLC v. CBS Corp., 946 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
 - o ePlus, Inc. v. Lawson Software, Inc., 789 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
 - CyWee Grp. Ltd. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., 2019 WL 11023976 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 14, 2019) (Bryson, J.)

Week 2: September 1, 2022

- Core Topic: Constitutional Basis and Challenges; Director Review; Administrative Procedure Act Part I
- Reading Assignments
 - Oil States Energy Servs., LLC v. Greene's Energy Group, LLC, 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018)
 - o United States v. Arthrex, 141 S. Ct. 1970 (2021)
 - Interim process for Director review, https://www.uspto.gov/patents/patent-trialand-appeal-board/interim-process-director-review
 - o In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd., 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
 - o Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064, 1080 (Fed. Cir. 2015)

Week 3: September 8, 2022

- Core Topic: Who can file petition; Searching, Prior Art, Experts, Librarians, Petition, Multiple Petitions per Patent, Protective Orders- Motions to Seal
- Reading Assignments:
 - Patent Office Litigation ("POL") Chapters 3, 4 (available on Westlaw)
 - Who can file
 - Return Mail, Inc. v. United States Postal Serv., 139 S.Ct. 1853 (2019)
 - Regents of the Univ. of Minn. v. LSI Corporation, 926 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
 - Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., 896 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2018)
 - Athena Automation Ltd. v. Husky Injection Molding Sys. Ltd. (§ II.A), Case IPR2013-00290, Paper 18 (Oct. 25, 2013)

- Case in Chief
 - Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd, 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
 - Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Cont'l Auto. Sys., Inc., 853 F.3d 1272, (Fed. Cir. 2017)
 - Henny Penny Corp. v. Frymaster LLC, 938 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
 - *RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time, LLC*, IPR2015-01750, Paper 128 (Oct. 2, 2020)
- Printed Publication
 - Hulu, LLC v. Sound View Innovations, LLC, Case IPR2018-01039 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2019) (Designated: Dec. 20, 2019) (Paper 29)
- Incorporation by Reference Improper
 - Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC, Case IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (Aug. 29, 2014)
- Protective Order Motion to Seal
 - Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Alcon Research, Ltd., Case IPR2017-01053, Paper 27 (Jan. 19, 2018)

Week 4: September 15, 2022

- Core Topic: Patent Owner Preliminary Response, Real Party in Interest, Privy, Statutory Bar challenges, Discretionary Denial
- Reading Assignments:
 - o 35 U.S.C. §§ 313, 314, 315, 325
 - Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide November 2019, pp. 49–52
 - Statutory Bars of 315(a) and 315(b)
 - *RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time, LLC*, IPR2015-01750, Paper 128 (Oct. 2, 2020)
 - Infiltrator Water Techs., LLC, v. Presby Patent Trust, Case IPR2018-00224, Paper 18 (Oct. 1, 2018)
 - GoPro, Inc. v. 360Heros, Inc., Case IPR2018-01754, Paper 38 (Aug. 23, 2019)
 - Proppant Express Investments, LLC v. Oren Techs., LLC, Case IPR2017-01917, Paper 86 (Feb. 13, 2019)
 - Ventex Co., Ltd. v. Columbia Sportswear N. Am., Inc., Case IPR2017-00651, Paper 152 (Jan. 24, 2019)
 - Discretionary Denial 314(a), 325(d)
 - In re Vivint, 14 F. 4th 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2021)
 - Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., Case IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (Mar. 20, 2020)
 - Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH, Case IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (Feb. 13, 2020)
 - Oticon Medical AB v. Cochlear Limited (§ II.B and II.C), Case IPR2019-00975, Paper 15 (Oct. 16, 2019)
 - Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha (§ II.B.4.i), Case IPR2016-01357 et al., Paper 19 (Sept. 6, 2017)

 PTO Memo on *Fintiv*, <u>https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/</u> documents/interim_proc_discretionary_denials_aia_parallel_district_court _litigation_memo_20220621_.pdf

Week 5: September 22, 2022

- Core Topic: Board Decisions, Joinder, Trial Strategy, Board Calls, Rehearing
- Reading Assignment:
 - POL Chapter 8
 - o SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)
 - Rehearing
 - Huawei Device Co., Ltd. v. Optis Cellular Tech., LLC, Case IPR2018-00816, Paper 19 (Jan. 8, 2019)
 - Joinder Section 315(c)
 - Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha (§ II.B.4.i), Case IPR2016-01357 et al., Paper 19 (Sept. 6, 2017)
 - Apple Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC, Case IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (Oct. 28, 2020) [AIA 314(a), 315(c), denying institution and joinder motion analysis of General Plastic factors based on follow-on copycat petition]
 - Proppant v Oren, IPR2018-00914, Paper 38 (P.T.A.B. March 13, 2019)

Week 6: September 29, 2022

- Core Topic: Patent Owner Response, Depositions, Mandatory and Additional Discovery, Motion to Amend
- Reading Assignment:
 - o POL Chapter 7
 - Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC, Case IPR2012-00001, Paper 26 (June 11, 2013) [additional discovery factors]
 - Bloomberg Inc. v. Markets-Alert Pty Ltd., Case CBM2013-00005, Paper 32 (May 29, 2013) [additional discovery factors]
 - o Aqua Products, Inc. v. Matal, 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017) (Motion to Amend)
 - o *Nike v Adidas*, 955 F.3d 45 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
 - Uniloc 2017 LLC, v. Hulu, LLC et al., Case No. 2019-1686 (Fed. Cir. July 22, 2020) (101 review of motion to amend)
 - *Hunting Titan, Inc. v. DynaEnergetics Europe GmbH*, Case IPR2018-00600, Paper 67
 - Amazon.com, Inc. v. Uniloc Luxembourg S.A., Case IPR2017-00948, Paper 34 (Jan. 18, 2019) [AIA § 316(d), grounds that can be raised against substitute claims]
 - *Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc.*, Case IPR2018-01129, 01130, Paper 15 (Feb. 25, 2019) [AIA § 316(d), requirements and burden]
 - *Focal Therapeutics, Inc. v. SenoRx, Inc.*, Case IPR IPR2014-0011, Paper 19 (July, 2014) [deposition conduct]

Week 7: October 6, 2022

- Core Topic: Secondary Considerations
- Reading Assignment:
 - o Teva Pharm. Int'l GmbH v. Eli Lilly & Co., 8 F. 4th 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2021)

- o Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC, 944 F.3d 1366, 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
- o WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co., 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
- o Shenzhen Liown Elecs. Co., Ltd., 2017 WL 500153 (Feb. 6, 2017)
- o Activision Blizzard, Inc., Case IPR2015-01996, Paper 101 (Mar. 29, 2017)
- o Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc., Case IPR2018-01129, Paper 33 (Jan. 24, 2020)
- o Ex parte Quist, Appeal No. 2008-001183 (June 2, 2010)
- o Ex parte Jellá, Appeal No. 2008-1619 (Nov. 3, 2008)

Week 8: October 13, 2022

- Core Topic: Estoppels Prosecution, District Court, Related Proceedings; Petitioner Reply; Post Grant Reviews
- Reading Assignment:
 - Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide November 2019, pp. 73–75
 - 35 U.S.C. §§ 315, 325
 - o California Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd., 25 F.4th 976 (Fed. Cir. 2022)
 - o Intuitive Surgical, Inc. v. Ethicon LLC, 25 F.4th 1035 (Fed. Cir. 2022)
 - Westlake Servs., LLC v. Credit Acceptance Corp., Case CBM2014-00176, Paper 28 (May 14, 2015)
 - o In re DMF, Inc., 858 F. App'x 361 (Fed. Cir. 2021)
 - o Network-1 Techs., Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co., 981 F.3d 1015 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
 - o Olaplex, Inc. v. L'Oreal USA, Inc., 855 F. App'x 701 (Fed. Cir. 2021)
 - o Order, TRUSTID, Inc. v. Next Caller, Inc., No. 18-172 (D. Del. July 6, 2021)

Week 9: October 20, 2022

- Core Topic: AIA-Proofing Patents, Reissues, Supplemental Examination, Ex Parte Examination Overview
- Reading Assignment:
 - POL Chapters 8, 16, 26
 - o Ex parte Wellerdieck, 2007-1119 (May 4, 2007) [term]
 - o Ex parte Adams, 2007-0441 (March 14, 2007) [changes to indefinite claims]
 - o Ex parte Kraus, 2005-0841 (Sept. 21, 2006) [recapture]

Week 10: October 27, 2022

- Core Topic: Objections, Supplemental Evidence, Supplemental Information, Motions Practice: Exclude/Opposition to Motion to Exclude
- Reading Assignment:
 - *Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Horizon Pharma USA, Inc.*, IPR2018-00272, Paper 35 (PTAB Mar. 28, 2019) (Motion to Terminate):
 - Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Alcon Research, Ltd., IPR2017-01053, Paper 27 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2018) (informative) (Motion to Seal)
 - Nuna Baby Essentials, Inc. et al. v. Britax Child Safety, Inc., IPR2018-01683, Paper 11 (PTAB Dec. 18, 2018) (Motion to Excuse Late Filing)
 - Samsung Electronics Co. v. Immersion Corporation, IPR2018-01468, Paper 10 (PTAB Feb 20, 2019): [Petition-Excused]
 - Olympus America Inc. v. Perfect Surgical Techniques, Inc., IPR2014-00233, Paper 56 (PTAB June 8, 2015): [Stipulated Due Dates-Not Excused]

Week 11: November 3, 2022

- Core Topic: Oral Argument, Demonstratives, Live Testimony, Settlement, Adverse Judgement, Reissue
- Reading Assignment:
 - Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide November 2019, pp. 81–90
 - <u>https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/LEAPOralArgumentsPractic</u> <u>umFINALv2.pdf</u>
 - o 35 U.S.C. § 317
 - DTN, LLC v. Farms Technology, LLC, Case IPR2018-01412, Paper 21 (June 14, 2019)
 - Kokusai Electric Corporation v. ASM IP Holding B.V., Case IPR2018-01151, Paper 38 (Aug. 20, 2019)
 - DePuy Synthes Prods., Inc. v. Medidea, L.L.C., Case IPR2018-00315, Paper 29 (Jan. 23, 2019)
 - o K-40 Elecs., LLC v. Escort, Inc., Case IPR2013-00203, Paper 34 (May 21, 2014)
 - Curt G. Joa, Inc. v. Fameccanica.data S.P.A., Case IPR2016-00906, Paper 61 (June 20, 2017)

Week 12: November 10, 2022

- Core Topic: Appeals; Administrative Procedure Act Part II
- Reading Assignments:
 - Standing to Appeal
 - AVX Corp. v. Presidio Components, 923 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
 - DuPont v. Synvina, 904 F.3d 996 (Fed. Cir. 2018)
 - Mylan Pharm. Inc. v. Research Corp. Techs., Inc., 914 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
 - Momenta Pharm., Inc. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., 915 F.3d 764 (Fed. Cir. 2019)
 - Reverse v. Remand
 - *In re Van Os*, 844 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2017)
 - Icon Health & Fitness, Inc. v. Strava, Inc., 849 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2017)
 - In re Hodges, 882 F.3d 1107 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 12, 2018)
 - *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992)
 - o Appealable Issues
 - *Thryv, Inc v. Click-To-Call Techs., LP*, 140 S. Ct. 1367 (2020)
 - SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018)
 - Mylan Labs. Ltd. v. Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.C., 989 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2021)

Week 13: November 17, 2022

- Team Oral Arguments
- Discuss Final Exam

ADDITIONAL SCHOOL POLICIES AND RESOURCES:

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY: It is expected that students adhere to the Antonin Scalia Law School Honor Code. The Honor Code prohibits lying, cheating, or stealing. This includes a student obligation to never represent the work of another as their own, and to never provide or accept unauthorized assistance on any school related assignment. The Honor Code is available here: <u>https://sls.gmu.edu/honor/</u>.

ACADEMIC REGULATIONS - Click Here

CLASSROOM ACCOMMODATIONS: Disability Services at George Mason University is committed to providing equitable access to learning opportunities for all students by upholding laws that ensure equal treatment of people with disabilities. If you are seeking accommodations for this class, please visit http://ds.gmu.edu/ for detailed information about the Disabilities Registration Process. Faculty may not receive or respond to requests for an accommodation. All requests must be handled by the office of Disability Services. You may contact Disability Services directly via email at ods@gmu.edu or phone at (703) 993-2474. If you have any questions about how in-class or testing accommodations are implemented at the law school, please contact the Assistant Dean, Student Academic Affairs for more information.

EXAM CONFLICTS:

In accordance with AR 4-4.1, excuses and requests for permission not to sit for an examination when scheduled must be presented, with appropriate documentation, to the Assistant Dean, Student Academic Affairs. Except in emergencies, such requests should be presented no later than two weeks before the date of the examination.

UNIVERSITY LIFE: University Life provides student support resources such as Counseling Psychological Services (https://caps.gmu.edu/), and Student Health Services (https://shs.gmu.edu/), and the Student Support and Advocacv Center (https://ssac.gmu.edu/). For more information about University Life on the Arlington Campus, please visit: https://ularlington.gmu.edu/